Tentative Decisions for January 15, 2025

Courtroom #1: Judge J. Omar Rodriguez

FL-18-00128 Gallegos v. Hurtado

Petitioner's Request for Order regarding Child Support is GRANTED in the amount of \$483.00 per month. One-half of the payment is due on the first of each month and one-half of the payment is due on the fifteenth of each month. The orders are effective on January 15, 2025.

The court used a printout of a computer calculation and findings, which is pasted below and incorporated in this order. Each party must pay 50% of child-care costs related to employment or reasonably necessary job training. Each party must pay 50% of reasonable uninsured health-care costs for the children.

ATTORNEY (NAME AND ADDRESS): TELEPHONE		Superior Court Of The State of California, County of
		COURT NAME:
		STREET ADDRESS:
		MAILING ADDRESS:
California		BRANCH NAME:
Camorina		
ATTORNEY FOR: Father		
DISSOMASTER REPO	RT	CASE NUMBER:
2024, Monthly		
2024, Monday		

Input Data	Father	Mother	Guideline (2024)		Cash Flow Analysis	Father	Mothe
Number of children	0	3	Nets (adjusted)		Guideline		
% time with Second Parent	33%	0%	Father	2,199	Payment (cost)/benefit	(483)	483
Filing status	Single	HH/MLA	Mother	2,265	Net spendable income	1,716	2,748
# Federal exemptions	1*	4*	Total	4,464	% combined spendable	38.4%	61.6%
Wages + salary	2,587	0	Support		Total taxes	388	0
401(k) employee contrib	0	0	CS Payor	Father	Comb. net spendable	4,464	
Self-employment income	0	0	Presumed	(483)	Proposed		
Other taxable income	0	2,265	Basic CS	(483)	Payment (cost)/benefit	(722)	722
Short-term cap. gains	0	0	Add-ons	0	Net spendable income	1,993	2,943
Long-term cap. gains	0	0	Presumed Per Kid		NSI change from gdl	277	195
Other gains (and losses)	0	0	Child 1	(96)	% combined spendable	40.4%	59.6%
Ordinary dividends	0	0	Child 2	(145)	% of saving over gdl	58.6%	41.4%
Tax. interest received	0	0	Child 3	(241)	Total taxes	(129)	44
Social Security received	0	0	Spousal support	blocked	Comb. net spendable	4,936	
Unemployment compensation	0	0	Total	(483)	Percent change	10.6%	
Operating losses	0	0	Proposed, tactic 9		Default Case Settin	gs	
Ca. operating loss adj.	0	0	CS Payor	Father			
Roy, partnerships, S corp, trusts	0	0	Presumed	722			
Rental income	0	0	Basic CS	722			
Misc ordinary tax. inc.	0	2,265	Add-ons	0			
Other nontaxable income	0	0	Presumed Per Kid				
New-spouse income	0	0	Child 1	204			
SS paid other marriage	0	0	Child 2	232			
CS paid other relationship	0	0	Child 3	287			
Adj. to income (ATI)	0	0	Spousal support	blocked			
9.3% elective PTE payment	0	0	Total	722			
Ptr Support Pd. other P'ships	0	0	Savings	473			
Health insurance	0	0	Mother	277			
Qual. Bus. Inc. Ded.	0	0	Father	196			
Itemized deductions	0	0	Total releases to Father	3			
Other medical expenses	0	0					
Property tax expenses	0	0					
Ded, interest expense	0	0					
Charitable contribution	0	0					
Miscellaneous itemized	0	0					
State sales tax paid	0	0					
Required union dues	0	0					
Cr. for Pd. Sick and Fam. L.	0	0					
Mandatory retirement	0	0					
Hardship deduction	0	0*					
Other gdl. adjustments	0	0					
AMT info (IRS Form 6251)	0	0					
Child support add-ons	0	0					

FL-19-00017 Delavarpour v. Delavarpour

The Status Conference is continued to February 26, 2025 at 8:30 a.m. to coincide with Petitioner's Request for Order.

FL-23-00106 Ureno v. Ureno

Respondent's Request for Order regarding Spousal Support is GRANTED in the amount of \$1,953.00 per month. One-half of the payment is due on the first of each month and one-half of the payment is due on the fifteenth of each month.

The orders are effective on January 15, 2025. The spousal support obligation will terminate after Petitioner has paid 16 months of spousal support payments to Respondent.

The court used a printout of a computer calculation and findings, which is pasted below and incorporated in this order.

ATTORNEY (NAME AND ADDRESS): California	TELEPHONE NO:	Superior Court Of The State of California, County of COURT NAME: STREET ADDRESS: MAILING ADDRESS: BRANCH NAME:
ATTORNEY FOR Husband		
DISSOMASTER REPORT		CASE NUMBER:
2024, Monthly		

Input Data	Husband	Wife	Guideline (2024)		Cash Flow Analysis	Husband	Wif
Number of children	0	0	Nets (adjusted)		Guideline		
% time with Second Parent	0%	0%	Husband	5,299	Payment (cost)/benefit	(1,783)	1,953
Filing status	Single	HH/MLA	Wife	336	Net spendable income	3,346	2,289
# Federal exemptions	1	2	Total	5,635	% combined spendable	59.4%	40.6%
Wages + salary	7,386	0	Support (Nondeductible)		Total taxes	1,763	(
401(k) employee contrib	0	0	SS Payor	Husband	Comb. net spendable	5,635	
Self-employment income	0	0	Santa Clara	1,953	Proposed		
Other taxable income	0	1,036	Total	1,953	Payment (cost)/benefit	(1.783)	1,953
Short-term cap. gains	0	0	Proposed, tactic 9		Net spendable income	3,346	2,289
Long-term cap. gains	0	0	SS Payor	Husband	NSI change from gdl	0	(
Other gains (and losses)	0	0	Santa Clara	1,953	% combined spendable	59.4%	40.6%
Ordinary dividends	0	0	Total	1,953	% of saving over gdl	0%	0%
Tax. interest received	0	0	Savings	0	Total taxes	1,763	0
Social Security received	0	0	Mother	0	Comb. net spendable	5,635	
Unemployment compensation	0	0	Father	0	Percent change	0.0%	
Operating losses	0	0	No releases		Default Case Sett	ings	
Ca. operating loss adj.	0	0					
Roy, partnerships, S corp, trusts	0	0					
Rental income	0	0					
Misc ordinary tax. inc.	0	1,036					
Other nontaxable income	0	0					
New-spouse income	0	0					
SS paid other marriage	0	0					
CS paid other relationship	0	700					
Adj. to income (ATI)	0	0					
9.3% elective PTE payment	0	0					
Ptr Support Pd. other P'ships	0	0					
Health insurance	245	0					
Qual. Bus. Inc. Ded.	0	0					
Itemized deductions	514	0					
Other medical expenses	0	0					
Property tax expenses	0	0					
Ded. interest expense	514	0					
Charitable contribution	0	0					
Miscellaneous itemized	0	0					
State sales tax paid	0	0					
Required union dues	80	0					
Cr. for Pd. Sick and Fam. L.	0	0					
Mandatory retirement	0	0					
Hardship deduction	0*	0*					
Other gdl. adjustments	0	0					
AMT info (IRS Form 6251)	0	0					
Child support add-ons	0	0					

END OF TENTATIVE DECISIONS

Page 4 of 4